

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/698,297	10/31/2003	Anatoly S. Weiser	AW 001 UTL	1393	
		35070 7590 02/23/2007 ANATOLY S. WEISER			EXAMINER	
	3525 DEL MA	R HEIGHTS ROAD, #2	95	MONIKANG, GEORGE C		
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2615		
	- <u>-</u>					
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
Ī	3 MO	NTHS	02/23/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/698,297	WEISER, ANATOLY S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George C. Monikang	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2003.						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-28 & 30-31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miura et al, US Patent Pub. 2002/0183873 A1.

Re Claim 1, Miura et al discloses a method of transferring (<u>dubbing</u>) sound (<u>abstract</u>), comprising:(a) storing the sound in a buffer (<u>para 0038</u>); (b) monitoring one or more sound attributes of the sound for indications of presence of a sound segment in the sound (<u>fig. 7a & 7b</u>); (c) transferring the sound in the buffer when the one or more sound attributes produce an indication of sound segment presence (<u>para 0038</u>); and (d) transferring the sound after the indication (<u>para 0038</u>).

Re Claim 2, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the step of storing comprises storing the sound in a FIFO (para 0097).

Re Claim 3, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise sound intensity level, and first indication is produced when the sound intensity level exceeds a first threshold

Art Unit: 2615

(fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio signals to a certain power intensity level and signals that don't come close to this level would be an error).

Re Claim 4, Miura et al disclose a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise spectral power densities of the sound, and the step of monitoring comprises monitoring the spectral power densities (fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio signals to a certain power density level and signals that don't come close to this level would be an error).

Re Claim 5, Miura et al disclose a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise at least one moving average of the sound intensity level, and the step of monitoring comprises monitoring the at least one moving average (<u>fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio signals to a certain intensity level</u>).

Re Claim 6, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising terminating said step (d) when the one or more sound attributes stop producing an indication of sound segment presence (*para 0061*, 0100).

Re Claim 7, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 6, wherein: said step (c) comprises recording the sound in the buffer on a recording medium when the one or more sound attributes produce the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0075*); and said step (d) comprises recording the sound on the recording medium following the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0057*).

Re claim 8 Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 6, wherein: said step (c) comprises transmitting the sound in the buffer when the one or more sound attributes produce the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0038*); and said step (d) comprises transmitting the sound following the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0038*).

Re Claim 9, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 6, wherein: said step (c) comprises reproducing the sound in the buffer when the one or more sound attributes produce the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0075*); and said step (d) comprises reproducing the sound following the indication of sound segment presence (*para 0075*).

Re Claim 10, Mura et al discloses a method of transferring incoming sound, comprising: (a) storing the incoming sound in a buffer (*para 0038*); (b) monitoring one or more attributes of the incoming sound to produce indications of sound segment presence and effective sound absence based on the one or more attributes (*para 0038, 0061, 0100*); (c) transferring a predetermined interval of the sound in the buffer when the one or more sound attributes produce an indication of sound segment presence, the predetermined interval extending to a point in time when the indication is produced (*para 0075*); (d) transferring the sound following the indication (*para 0075*); and (e) terminating said step (d) when the one or more sound attributes produce an indication of effective sound absence (*para 0061, 0100*).

Claim 11 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 2.

Claim 12 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 3.

Re Claim 13, Miura et al disclose a method in accordance with claim 10, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise sound intensity level, and the step of monitoring produces an indication of effective sound absence when the sound intensity level is below a first threshold (para 0099; empty packets have low sound intensity level determined by the controller fig. 9: 39).

Re Claim 14, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 10, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise sound intensity level, the step of monitoring produces an indication of sound segment presence when the sound intensity level exceeds a first threshold (fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio signals to a certain power intensity level and signals that don't come close to this level would be an error), and the step of monitoring produces an indication of effective sound absence when the sound intensity level is below a second threshold (para 0099; empty packets have low sound intensity level determined by the controller fig. 9: 39).

Re Claim 15, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 10, wherein the one or more sound attributes comprise sound intensity level, the step of monitoring produces an indication of sound segment presence when the sound intensity level exceeds a first threshold (fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio signals to a certain power intensity level and signals that don't come close to this level would be an error), and the step of monitoring produces an indication of effective sound absence when the sound intensity level is at or below the first threshold (fig. 3: 46; the RF amplifier would amplify the audio

Art Unit: 2615

signals to a certain power intensity level and signals that don't come close to this level would be an error).

Claim 16 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 4.

Claim 17 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 5.

Claim 18 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 7...

Re Claim 19, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 10, wherein: said step (c) comprises wirelessly transmitting (para 0038; RF signals are transmitted wirelessly) the sound in the buffer when the monitoring step produces an indication of sound segment presence (para 0038); and said step (d) comprises wirelessly transmitting (para 0038; RF signals are transmitted wirelessly) the sound following the indication of sound segment presence (para 0038).

Claim 20 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 9.

Re Claim 21, Miura et al discloses a method of sound-activated transfer of incoming sound, the method comprising: identifying non-overlapping segments of sound and effective sound absence within the incoming sound (*para 0038*, 0061, 0100); transferring the segments of sound (*para 0038*); and determining a first plurality of intervals within the segments of effective sound absence (*fig. 71* & 75 / 74 & 75), each interval immediately preceding one of the segments of sound, each interval being part of and associated with a segment of effective sound absence, the plurality of intervals comprising at least one interval shorter than a segment of effective sound absence associated with said at least one

Art Unit: 2615

interval (<u>fig. 7a & 7b: 74 & 75</u>); and transferring the first plurality of intervals (<u>para 0081 - 0084</u>).

Re Claim 22, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 21, wherein each segment of effective sound absence located between two segments of sound comprises an interval of the first plurality of intervals (<u>fig. 7a & 7b: 74 & 75</u>).

Re Claim 23, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 21, further comprising: defining criteria for determining beginning of a segment of sound; and defining criteria for determining beginning of a segment of effective sound absence (*para 0086*).

Re Claim 24, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 21, wherein: said step of transferring the segments of sound comprises recording the segments of sound (*para 0075*); and said step of transferring the first plurality of intervals comprises recording the first plurality of intervals (*para 0075*).

Re Claim 25, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 21, wherein: said step of transferring the segments of sound comprises transmitting the segments of sound (*para 0038*); and said step of transferring the first plurality of intervals comprises transmitting the first plurality of intervals (*para 0038*).

Claim 26 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 9.

Re Claim 27, Miura et al discloses a method in accordance with claim 21, further comprising dynamically defining lengths of the intervals (*para 0075; while recording the tracks, the recording device will have the ability to determine the length of each track/interval*).

Art Unit: 2615

Re Claim 28, Miura et al discloses a sound recorder, comprising: an input receiving digitized waveforms representing sound (*para 0057*); a memory storing a program (*para 0038*); and a processor executing the program (*fig. 2: 27*); and

Page 8

determines sound segments within the digitized waveforms (para 0038), causes

processor (para 0039); wherein: the processor, under control of the program,

an interface to a recording medium (fig. 2: 29), the interface being coupled to the

the sound segments to be transferred through the interface to be recorded on the

recording medium (para 0038, 0057), and causes a plurality of intervals of the

digitized waveforms to be transferred through the interface to be recorded on the

recording medium (para 0038, 0057, 0075), each interval immediately preceding

one of the sound segments (para 0038, 0057, 0075), at least one interval being

shorter than time period between the sound segments immediately following and

immediately preceding said at least one interval (para 0075, 0081; fig. 7a & 7b).

Re Claim 30, Miura et al disclose a sound recorder in accordance with claim 28, further comprising a buffer (<u>para 0038</u>), wherein the processor causes the intervals to be stored in the buffer before the processor causes the intervals to be recorded on the recording medium (<u>para 0038</u>).

Claim 31 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 29 & 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al US Patent Pub. 2002/0183873 A1 as applied to claim 28 above, in view of Colier, US Patent 5,408,582.

Re Claim 29, Miura et al discloses a sound recorder in accordance with claim 28, but fails to disclose further comprising a microphone capable of receiving the sound and generating analog waveforms corresponding to the sound, and an analog-to-digital converter coupled to the microphone and to the input, the analog-to-digital converter being capable of receiving the analog waveforms and generating the digitized waveforms from the analog waveforms. However, Colier does (*col. 2, lines 32-40*).

Taking the combined teaching of Miura et al and Colier as a whole, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the sound recorder in

Miura et al with further comprising a microphone capable of receiving the sound and generating analog waveforms corresponding to the sound, and an analog-to-digital converter coupled to the microphone and to the input, the analog-to-digital converter being capable of receiving the analog waveforms and generating the digitized waveforms from the analog waveforms as taught in Colier (*col. 2, lines* 32-40) so that the sound recording apparatus will be able to record sounds from a microphone unto to a recording medium thus creating a more useful apparatus.

Claim 32 has been analyzed and rejected according to claims 28 & 29.

Claim 33 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 30.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Monikang whose telephone number is 571-270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George Monikang

2/7/2007

SUPERVISORY PATLOT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2615

Page 12